# AYNOR ELEMENTARY 516 Jordanville Road Aynor, SC 29511 PK-6 Elementary School GRADES 688 Students ENROLLMENT Carolyn C. Floyd PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Gerrita Postlewait BOARD CHAIR Will Garland THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2003 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: EXCELLENT Absolute Ratings of Elementary Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 10 48 32 IMPROVEMENT RATING: BELOW AVERAGE ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: This school met 15 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.SCEOC.ORG

843-358-3680

843-488-6700

843-358-8002

NO

PERFORMANCE		

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Average	N/A
2002	Good	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003	Excellent	Below Average	No
2004		-	

# PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Our School

Elementary Schools with Students like Ours

392

375

375

387

60

Mathematics

English/Language Arts

Mathematics

English/Language Arts

### **Definition of Critical Terms**

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

# EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS Teachers Students

49	91	52
97.9%	92.2%	88.5%
97.9%	92.3%	72.5%
95.7%	96.7%	90.2%
	97.9% 97.9%	97.9% 92.2% 97.9% 92.3%

**Parents** 

PACT PERFORMANCE	E BY GR	OUP						
		/sk 0		/ ;c,		/ x	/ A	bne
	/	ent resting	, ' <sup>6</sup> 6 /	Basil	/ isc /	<b>FICIERLY</b>	ancer	ient a ced
	orolle	DUP Red Testing	Tested old	oly Basic	a Basic ok	Proficient of	Advanced of Profi	cientand st
	\Q1.0	<b>6</b> 5/ -\	0/02		/	/	0/01	<u>'</u> / ઙ૽
All students	202	99.7	16.7	nglish/Lar 37.5	nguage Ar 39.7	rts 6.0	45.8	17.6
Gender	393	99.7	10.7	37.5	39.7	0.0	45.6	17.0
Male	203	99.5	18.3	40.3	37.6	3.8	41.4	17.6
Female	190	100.0	15.1	34.6	41.9	8.4	50.3	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	349	99.7	13.5	37.1	42.6	6.7	49.4	17.6
African-American	37	100.0	51.5	33.3	15.2	N/A	15.2	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	2	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status								
Not disabled	332	100.0	12.8	34.9	45.2	7.1	52.2	17.6
Disabled	61	98.4	39.6	52.8	7.5	N/A	7.5	17.6
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	393	99.7	16.8	37.4	39.8	6.0	45.9	17.6
English Proficiency								
imited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	393	99.7	16.7	37.5	39.7	6.0	45.8	17.6
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	214	100.0	24.4	39.4	33.7	2.6	36.3	17.6
Full-pay meals	179	99.4	8.1	35.5	46.5	9.9	56.4	17.6
All at all at					matics			
All students	393	99.7	9.0	39.2	34.2	17.5	51.8	15.5
<b>Gender</b> Male	000	400.0	7.5	40.0	04.4	47.7	50.0	45.5
viale Female	203	100.0	7.5	40.3	34.4	17.7	52.2	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group	190	99.5	10.6	38.0	34.1	17.3	51.4	15.5
White	349	99.7	6.7	38.0	36.2	19.0	55.2	15.5
African-American	349	100.0	33.3	45.5	18.2	3.0	21.2	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander		100.0	N/A	45.5 N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	1	100.0	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	2	100.0						15.5
Disability Status	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Not disabled	332	99.7	6.1	36.5	37.5	19.9	57.4	15.5
Disabled	61	100.0	26.4	54.7	15.1	3.8	18.9	15.5
Migrant Status	U	100.0	20.4	J4.1	10.1	5.0	10.0	10.0
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	393	99.7	9.1	39.0	34.3	17.6	51.9	15.5
English Proficiency	000	33.7	3.1	55.5	55	5	55	
imited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	393	99.7	9.0	39.2	34.2	17.5	51.8	15.5
Socio-Economic Status	555	30.1	0.0	33.2	\$ 1.E		51.0	.0.0
Subsidized meals	214	99.5	12.0	47.4	27.1	13.5	40.6	15.5
Full pay mode	470	100.0		20.4	40.0	20.0	04.0	45.5

100.0

Full-pay meals

5.8

30.1

42.2

22.0

64.2

# PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		Enrolle	and Jo	deer ole Be	NOW OF	888 oh	640.	Adve olo Profic
		KIN O	<u>»</u>	/		/		0/0,
				English	n/Langua	ge Arts		
	Grade 3	89	N/A	11.2	32.6	51.7	4.5	56.2
	Grade 4	94	N/A	17.2	40.9	37.6	4.3	41.9
2002	Grade 5	88	N/A	24.4	48.8	24.4	2.3	26.7
20	Grade 6	88	N/A	29.9	40.2	25.3	4.6	29.9
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	88	100.0	7.5	28.8	53.8	10.0	63.8
	Grade 4	96	100.0	4.5	31.8	58.0	5.7	63.6
2003	Grade 5	108	99.1	20.4	51.5	26.2	1.9	28.2
8	Grade 6	101	100.0	31.9	35.1	25.5	7.4	33.0
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

				IVI	athematio	S		
	Grade 3	89	N/A	11.2	32.6	32.6	23.6	56.2
	Grade 4	94	N/A	20.2	30.9	27.7	21.3	48.9
2002	Grade 5	88	N/A	23.3	30.2	29.1	17.4	46.5
2	Grade 6	88	N/A	24.1	49.4	19.5	6.9	26.4
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	88	98.9	6.3	32.9	43.0	17.7	60.8
	Grade 4	96	100.0	4.5	28.4	31.8	35.2	67.0
2003	Grade 5	108	100.0	9.6	52.9	27.9	9.6	37.5
20	Grade 6	101	100.0	14.9	39.4	36.2	9.6	45.7
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE				
(	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n= 688)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retention rate	2.1%	Down from 2.7%	2.6%	2.4%
Attendance rate Meeting grade 1 and 2 readiness standards	95.0%	Down from 96.2%	95.8%	95.9%
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for gifted and talented	25.8%	Up from 17.4%	14.3%	13.2%
On academic plans	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
On academic probation With disabilities other than speech	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	9.2%	Up from 8.0%	8.7%	8.0%
Older than usual for grade	1.3%	Down from 2.1%	0.9%	1.1%
Suspended or expelled	0.1%	Down from 0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 48)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	31.3%	Down from 32.6%	45.1%	50.0%
Continuing contract teachers	81.3%	Down from 89.1%	87.9%	85.3%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year	r 93.8%	Down from 94.5%	88.0%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	93.9%	Down from 94.9%	95.2%	95.3%
	\$38,545	Down 0.1%	\$39,627	\$39,909
Prof. development days/teacher	11.3 days	Down from 16.6 days	10.9 days	11.4 days
School				
Principal's years at school	2.0	Up from 1.0	3.5	4.0
Student-teacher ratio	17.3 to 1	Down from 17.5 to 1	19.1 to 1	18.9 to 1
Prime instructional time	87.8%	Down from 90.1%	89.8%	89.7%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$5,482	Up 0.1%	\$5,668	\$5,892
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	65.4%	Down from 67.5%	66.6%	66.6%
	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	84.8%	Down from 99.0%	99.0%	99.0%
	yes	N/A	yes	yes

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations	2	Mississ	Data
Appreviations	IOL	wiissina	บลเล

# REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Aynor Elementary School's staff, students and parents have many reasons to celebrate the 2002-2003 school year. Our community members are committed to success for every child and demonstrated their support by 100% participation in Personalized Learning Conferences and in signing Parent-Student-Teacher Compacts. In first grade, 95.2% of our students achieved a text level of greater than 16 by the end of the year. In the spring of 2002, PACT district scores from first and second grades indicated tremendous growth. In first grade, 100% of our students met the standard in ELA (82% scoring Proficient and 18% Basic) and 96% met the standard in math (75% scoring Proficient and 21% Basic). In second grade, 92% of our students met the standard in ELA (65% scoring Proficient and 27% Basic) and 92% met the standard in math (67% scoring Proficient and 25% Basic).

"Buzzing with Books" was implemented in 2002-2003 through a \$6,000 Education Improvement Act grant, as another way to serve first grade students who needed additional support. Our early literacy specialist trained a cadre of volunteers to work with identified students using early literacy strategies for two thirty minute tutorial periods a week. All of the students served through this program were successful by the end of the year and were promoted to second grade. In addition, 18 of our students served individually or in small groups by our early literacy specialist showed significant gains in reading and writing. We are dedicated to ensuring that our students gain a firm foundation in the primary grades.

A full-time curriculum specialist was available to work with all teachers on designing standards-based curriculum and assessments that would meet the needs of all students. After-school tutorial classes were offered to students in grades 3-6 who scored "Below Basic" on PACT and students scoring in higher categories on PACT were offered an opportunity for enrichment.

Aynor Elementary School provides a music program for students which offers instruction on steel drums, African drums, chorus and recorder in addition to the music curriculum. As recognition for an outstanding music program, Aynor Elementary was named a Grammy Gold Signature School and received \$2,500 to continue enhancement of our music program. Our music teacher, Connie Christy, was named as Teacher of the Year for Horry County Schools.

Our theme for the year, Above and Beyond, exemplifies the positive attitude and spirit of our community, and our dedication to providing a quality education for all students.

Carolyn C. Floyd, Principal

#### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

# DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.